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This paper explores the possibilities of using computational analysis to understand the representations and constructions of gender and the body in European fairytales. We hope to show how data analysis and visualization can be powerful tools in the humanist’s toolbox. Like all power tools, however, not wielding them carefully and expertly could be disastrous. In this paper, we outline the parameters of the project, the methods we chose, and some of the more interesting results we discovered. We will also present our research process as a successful example of interdisciplinary collaboration, touching on both the implications for digital humanities research as well as for gender and folklore studies.

Our collaboration began as one between two researchers from very different schools; Scott Weingart focuses on historical scientific research through the lens of large-scale data analysis, while Jeana Jorgensen’s background is in folklore, gender studies, and narrative. For this project, itself an offshoot of Jorgensen’s dissertation, we found both areas of expertise were necessary for our results to be both theoretically thorough and feasible within the short time span of Jorgensen’s dissertation. Further, we found that the initial unfamiliarity with each other’s expertise led to fruitful discussions, paving the way for both new sorts of questions to be raised about the content of fairy tales, and novel methods of data collection and representation for the digital humanities.

In order to address the basic question—do fairytales construct and represent bodies differently according to gender—we surveyed the quantitative and digital methods that have already been employed in folkloristics. Before the widespread use of computers, many folklorists simply made lists of the phenomena they were studying, counting instances of occurrences, and doing simple calculations such as tallying percentages. By the 1960s, some folklorists were
using computers to examine thematic clusters across cultural narratives. Like the founding father of digital humanities, Father Robert Busa, these scholars employed punch cards to compute large amounts of data such as regional superstition collections and supernatural folk narratives.\textsuperscript{2} More recently, folklorists such as Kathleen Ragan and Tim Tangherlini have used varied quantitative methods including statistical analysis of the components of texts, applying network analysis to characters in texts from one genre, and creating maps of storyteller networks.\textsuperscript{3}

### Data Choice and Collection – Theory and Practice

Drawing inspiration from these varied approaches, we applied computational approaches to canonical fairytales while utilizing tried and tested theoretical frameworks and critical analyses from feminist and folklore studies. We analyzed tales from six tale collections, some literary and classical, others collected using contemporary ethnographic standards.\textsuperscript{4} The sample included 233 tales, and as we wished to list and compare every time a body or body part was mentioned or described in the tales, we needed to devise a method for handling this amount of data.

Our solution was to create an expertly hand-coded database that included every noun or adjective used in reference to bodies within all the tales, in all about 11,000 entries. We included 13 points of data about tales themselves\textsuperscript{5} and another 14 points of data about every word used in reference to bodies\textsuperscript{6}. Some of this data required expert interpretation, which was inspired by previous theoretical frameworks; for example, was this body reference dealing with the grotesque? What structural section of the tale was it found in? It is important to note that this hand-coding was not done as it often is, by teams of undergraduate assistants who have been tested for intercoder reliability, but by one researcher: Jorgensen, the author of this paper providing its theoretical framework and the expertise in folklore.
Rather than a detriment, we feel this is an important step toward reintroducing the humanistic importance and subjectivity of the observer, answering the recent call of Johanna Drucker (2011): ‘Rendering *observation* (the act of creating a statistical, empirical, or subjective account or image) as if it were *the same as the phenomena observed* collapses the critical distance between the phenomenal world and its interpretation, undoing the basis of interpretation on which humanistic knowledge production is based.’ While much of the data collected was straightforward, much of it required some level of interpretation, and it was important that this process was done with full knowledge of the coder’s *subjectivity* rather than their *objectivity*. This emphasis on being aware of one’s subjectivity in the coding process parallels the reflexive turn in anthropology, folklore, and other social sciences occurring in the 1970s and 1980s. As Nancy Scheper-Hughes states: ‘While the anthropology is always a necessarily flawed and biased instrument of cultural translation, like every other craftsperson we do the best we can with the limited resources we have at hand’ (1995, 417-418). Working with an appreciation of one’s role in the process of interpretation has thus become a recognized component of writing culture within social sciences disciplines.

This hand-coding method allowed us to move beyond traditional ‘count the word’ computational studies. While that approach can be powerful on a huge corpus of data, as has been shown with Google Ngrams (Michel et al., 2011), it often comes at the expense of being able to apply a researcher’s own theoretical lens to the data. Our data also allowed us to find correlations and trends in these body representations across gender, nationality, status, age, and so forth. Importantly, it allowed us to create tables and visualizations which could be used as *tools for discovery*. None of these statistics by themselves are meaningful, but they allow us to
find anomalies and unexpected trends easier and faster, and they suggest further areas of inquiry into which a domain expert can then look more thoroughly.

These questions—how disciplinary perspectives influence methodology, and how to handle exploratory tools—are crucial for scholars working in, or peripherally too, the digital humanities, but also for scholars in not-so-digital disciplines. This point was recently driven home for folklorists when literary Darwinist Jonathan Gottschall chose to examine the evidence for universal sex differences in mate selection using folktale as his data, citing the reason that they are simple narratives that provide researchers ‘relatively direct access to the aspects of lives and ways of the traditional populations they are most interested in studying and upon whom they have the most difficulty gathering data’ (Gottschall 2003, 377). Treating folktales as universal and direct lines of access to what the ‘folk’ really think and believe, however, is quite problematic. Folklorist Donald Haase has published a criticism of Gottschall’s methodology, which relies ‘on the assumption that all the published texts have oral origins, that orality is pure and natural, and that this natural original essentially survives intact and defines each text’s unequivocal and primary level of significance’ regardless of ‘the language of that text and despite whatever mediation, alteration, or appropriation might occur at the hands of collectors, editors, and translators’ (2010, 21). Thus, while Gottschall’s attempt to apply computational methods to folktales is admirable, his results are based upon faulty assumptions and thus lose their utility.

The potential meanings of beauty in fairytales are myriad, from metaphorical expressions of inner worth and messages about gendered socialization to evaluations of good mating material. This latter perspective is summarized by Gottschall (which he hopes to prove as universally true by digitally examining beauty trends in folktales and fairytales): ‘Men place
great value on female physical attractiveness because it is a trustworthy indicator of relative
fertility'(2008, 176). Men’s physical attractiveness is less important than women’s because ‘male
fertility is much less variable than female, and those variances are much more difficult to detect’
and because of the difficulties inherent in raising human young: ‘women—unlike most female
mammals—must balance preferences for physically attractive mates with preferences for
parentally investing mates’ (ibid 176). 7 While our analysis has corroborated some of Gottschall’s
findings—such as the higher incidence of young characters than old, and the importance of
beauty to female characters—his explanation is biologically reductionist, and does not take into
account either the constructed nature of gender or the fact that the tales, like any form of
expressive culture, are filtered through multiple perspectives. As Indian folklorist A. K.
Ramanujan notes, ‘literature refracts as much as it reflects,’ and to use literary materials ‘in a
literal straightforward fashion is to misuse them, or use them only to illustrate what we know
already through other means’ (1999, 52). That seems to be the case with Gottschall’s analysis:
while his application of digital methods to folkloric materials is innovative, he lacks the cultural
sensitivity to properly frame his study or interpret his findings. The tales do not necessarily
depict men’s and women’s gender roles as so entirely different because they are that way in
reality, but rather because the tales are an important socializing force in ensuring that gender is
properly performed, and this is done by inscribing cultural values upon women’s bodies.

The importance of bodies—especially gendered ones—in fairytales is monumental.
Feminist scholars often consider the female characters in fairytales to be too passive, pretty, and
domestic (if protagonists), or alternately too wicked, ugly, and vicious (if antagonists). Laurence
Talairach-Vielmas writes of Victorian fairytales and the earlier fairytales they draw on, ‘what we
generally learn as children is that all princesses are beautiful and may even try to improve their
beauty. In fact, their beauty is their wealth—quite literally, since being beautiful enables them to win a prince and a fortune’ (2007, 5). Beauty is linked with not only success in fairytales, but also with character. Marcia Lieberman, in one of the earliest feminist criticisms of fairytales, writes: ‘Good-temper and meekness are so regularly associated with beauty, and ill-temper with ugliness, that this in itself must influence children’s expectations’ (1972, 385). Thus, beauty is a problem in and of itself in fairytales, but its associations with other supposedly female traits are thought to be problematic as well. Kay Stone concludes, based on her fieldwork on the reception of fairytales among contemporary American audiences: ‘Thus the message of the Cinderella story that seems most relevant for modern girls and women concerns the rewards one is supposed to receive for being pretty, polite, and passive; the primary reward, of course, is marriage, and marriage not just to anyone but to a “prince”’ (1985, 136). Lori Baker-Sperry and Liz Grauerholz conclude that ‘that messages in the Grimms’ fairytales, especially those that have been reproduced often, are consistent with other messages women and girls receive about the importance of feminine beauty’ (2003, 724). Thus, fairytales are but one outlet of Western society insisting on the importance and imbrication of traits that are supposedly inherently feminine: beauty, goodness, passivity, dependence, and an affinity for the domestic sphere. And with the prevalence of fairytales in Western popular culture, especially due to the American mass media productions of Disney, the importance of fairytales’ potential effects upon children’s notions of gender roles and body image cannot be underestimated.

Data Analysis

Once the database had been coded, the first step was to calculate basic statistics. These are simple but important raw numbers of how many references there were to each gender, age, and so forth; and also counts of the use of each adjective or noun. Initially, we wondered which body
parts were used in fairytales most frequently, or at all. Which body parts are important enough to mention in connection with a fairy-tale plot, or as a narrator aside? Which body parts even existed in the popular imagination around the time when fairytales were first being recorded? In our count of distinct, explicitly-mentioned body parts, there were 139 different body parts mentioned (this count separates singular and plural instances, so eye and eyes are listed in separate rows). The top ten body parts listed are: heart (295 mentions), eyes (294), head (259), hand (249), hair (204), hands (194), face (139), feet (119), tears (115), and blood (110). See Fig. 1. Note that besides heart and blood, all the other top-ten body parts are external: they are visible where they reside on the body, and can be observed visually; that is, vision is the main sense used to perceive them. This trend continues with the next ten most commonly described body parts: arms (95), foot (78), mouth (78), finger (77), beard (56), form (54), shoulders (52), neck (50), back (49), and body (47).

Some patterns immediately stand out: most of these body parts are external, but they also convey features of identity and enable motion. A person’s eyes, face, and hair are important identifying features, both in our world and in the fairy-tale world: heroes and heroines are the fairest in the land, which one assumes includes beautiful facial features, and hair is often described as golden or otherwise remarkable. A person’s foot or feet help them move throughout the world, while their arms, hand or hands, shoulders, and fingers allow them to interact with the world tactilely, touching and manipulating the objects around them. The most frequently mentioned body parts in fairytales, then, give us a sense of characters that have the limbs to explore the world, and the facial features to express information about their identities. It is also worth noting that the top 20 body part nouns make up the majority of the references to body
parts, indicating that different parts of the body are not given equal weight in fairy-tale descriptions.

Adjectival descriptions serve to deepen our understandings of the physical appearances of characters, in order to paint a more detailed visual picture to help audiences imagine and sympathize with them, but also to elaborate on their inner states. Additionally, adjectives offer information about the values ascribed to bodies. The ten most commonly used adjectives in the sample are: *old* (689 mentions), *young* (543), *little* (438), *beautiful* (433), *poor* (342), *youngest* (148), *handsome* (125), *dead* (114), *dear* (110), and *good* (103). See Fig. 2. It is significant that three of the top ten deal with age (*old*, *young*, and *youngest*), three with appearance (*little*, *beautiful*, and *handsome*), and three with perceived state of being (*poor*, *dear*, and *good*). The last one, *dead*, deals with existence and its lack. These are significant themes of the fairytale: the maturation of the protagonist, the obtaining and retaining of attractiveness and wealth, and the struggle to survive, though one’s family members and helpers might not.

The next ten most common adjectives reinforce these themes. They are: *oldest* (94 mentions), *second* (77), *golden* (73), *eldest* (67), *ugly* (67), *fair* (61), *human* (60), *wicked* (54), *lovely* (50), and *elder* (48). Again, there is a preoccupation with age (*oldest*, *eldest*, and *elder*, plus *second*, which usually refers to a second son or daughter), appearance (*golden*, *ugly*, *fair*, and *lovely*), and state of being (*wicked* and *human*). The list of most common nouns also includes many words that correspond to easily visible surfaces, such as facial features. This corresponds to Max Lüthi’s assertion that fairytales are concerned with surfaces rather than depths. He also states, ‘the folktale tends to render things and animate beings in metallic or mineral terms’ (1986, 27), which correlates to this information as the main color mentioned thus far has been gold.
Adjectives also serve the function of invisibly ladling out social meaning. Some adjectives that appear fairly straightforward on the surface turn out to convey additional meanings when analyzed in aggregate, and alongside other textual data. Age is an example of this phenomenon. The adjectives *old* and *young* are the top two in the adjective count, listed at 689 and 543 occurrences respectively. This indicates that age is an important concern in fairytales; indeed, age is one of the three oppositional axes that BengtHolbek uses to describe the identity shifts that occur in fairytales (tracking the progress from youth to maturity, as well as from low-status to high-status, and the connections between men and women). However, the numbers become even more significant when viewed in light of the fact that more bodies are implied to be young than old in fairytales.

Besides listing explicit uses of the words *young* and *old*, the database also tagged bodies or body parts that were implicitly described as belonging to someone young or old. In total, there were 2,767 instances of old/mature bodies described, and 7,762 instances of young/youthful bodies described. This means that nearly three-quarters of the time we see bodies in fairytales, those bodies are youthful. Returning to the adjective count, in which the word ‘young’ explicitly appeared 543 times, and ‘old’ appeared 689 times, it is clear that there is a discrepancy in how many times old adjectives are used, considering that there are so many fewer old bodies in the tales (see Fig. 3). While nearly 75% of references to bodies describe young people, the adjective *young* comprises fewer than half of the age-related adjectives. Compare that against only 25% of body references being to old people, while *old* comprises more than half of age-related adjectives. We interpret this to mean that there’s something important about describing fairy-tale characters as old, something noteworthy in the fact that it’s worth mentioning at all.
After examining and interpreting simple statistics, we then looked at basic correlations in the data; for example how often abstract nouns like death or beauty were used in reference to each gender. As expected, beauty was used more frequently with women than men, but this allowed us to compare precisely how much more frequently beautiful was used with women than handsome was used with men. These correlations sometimes yielded surprising results, and were used as launching points to dive further into the data. Looking at these correlations occasionally reinforced (and added to!) previous theories of gender representation. For example, while only 10.7% of references to bodies referred to old females, 14.5% of all adjectives used were attached to old females. While old females were described in more detail than expected, young males were described in less than expected (29.6% of references were to young males, but only 28.2% of adjectives were used to describe them).

Our analysis led us to word co-occurrence statistics using network representations. What adjectives and nouns were used together most frequently? In Fig. 4, adjectives are shown in relation to certain body categories. Beyond that, were certain clusters or groups of adjectives and nouns more likely to be used with certain categories? That is, would old and young people be described by two very different sets of words? Males and females? How significant was the variation? These questions are answered in Fig. 5, which shows all nouns and adjectives in relation to one another.

The Gender graph in Fig. 5 shows that there isn’t a significant clustering of words by gender; for the most part, the same groups of words are used to describe both males and females (even if a few choice words are used solely to describe one gender or the other). Analysis of the database revealed that most words are in fact shared between men and women, with the gender-specific exceptions not being very significant due to their infrequent occurrences. For example,
the top two words used solely with women are ‘tresses’ and ‘bosom,’ used 14 and 10 times respectively, but in a database consisting of 11,141 entries, these are rather small numbers. In contrast, the Age graph in Fig. 5 shows a significant clustering of youngwords on the bottom left and oldwords on the top right. This implies that old people are described significantly differently than young people, even more so than the difference between males and females.

The relationship between age and gender is also visible in Table 1, depicting the use of words in our data set that evaluate physical appearance in terms of aesthetics. Notice that with a few exceptions, almost all of the words correlate more with women than with men, and that the majority of the words have low correlations with old age, meaning that they apply more to the bodies of younger characters. Co-occurrences support this interpretation, and can give more information about how women’s bodies are described in terms of traditionally ‘beautiful’ attributes. For instance, the phrase golden hair, reputed to be stock in fairytales, occurs sixty-six times, out of seventy-three total mentions of the adjective golden. All but fourteen of those golden hair phrases refer to women; of the fourteen, five apply to the supernaturally enhanced golden hair of the boy in ‘Iron Hans’ (ATU 502 in the Grimms) and nine apply to the Devil’s golden hairs, the object of a quest, in ‘The Devil with the Three Golden Hairs’ (ATU 461 in the Grimms).9 Thus, males with golden hair get it via supernatural means (either by being supernatural creatures, as with the Devil, or by getting mixed up with supernatural creatures, as with the boy protagonist in ‘Iron Hans’). The women with golden hair, it is implied, are naturally that way, and their golden hair is a special marker of beauty.

**Preliminary Conclusions**

Data analysis yielded several interesting trends, as reported in the last section. Most references to body parts are to ones that are external and visible. The most-used adjectives tend to describe
age, appearance, or state of being. A relatively small number of nouns and adjectives make up more than half of the total words used in describing body parts. It is far more likely for an old person to be described as old than for a young person to be described as young. Old females were described more than expected and young males less than expected, given the distribution of nouns and adjectives. Male and females are generally described in similar ways, though there are certain terms that are used almost exclusively for men or women. In contrast, old and young bodies tend to be very polarized in their descriptions across the board. Aesthetic descriptions are generally attached to women rather than men.

To explain some of these differences, we turned to feminist theory. Second-wave feminists such as Simone de Beauvoir developed the notion of the universal masculine perspective, the idea that in Western culture, the public, unmarked, assumed universal position is in fact specifically male. As Judith Butler summarizes this argument: ‘Beauvoir contends that the female body is marked within masculinist discourse, whereby the masculine body, in its conflation with the universal, remains unmarked’ (1990, 17). Our data supports this assertion in terms of female bodies being marked within fairytales, but we also believe that the same principle applies to young and old bodies.

Youthful bodies are assumed to be the unmarked universal category in fairytales. This is not only because bodies are described using the adjective‘old’ more frequently than ‘young’ (see Fig. 3), but also because a number of adjectives cluster in groups by age. We interpret these findings to mean that old bodies must be differentiated in fairytales, because they are no longer in the supposedly universal category of youth. Old bodies are qualified with more descriptions in order to give audiences a sense of who these characters are, since they don’t fall into the category of the youthful protagonist, with whom listeners are supposed to easily identify. This information
also supports previous theories in fairy-tale scholarship, such as the notion that the tales are from
the child’s perspective (Dundes, 1989).

Discussion

This study presents a successful model for collaboration in the digital humanities. Combining
researchers from two very different backgrounds, but both grounded in humanistic training and
ideals, we were able to nurture a continuing process of exploration and discovery. In an
environment where both approaches have not been thoroughly explored in tandem, it became
essential to bounce a myriad of ideas back and forth, which led to the development of novel
questions and methods. We feel this combined approach was important in satisfying both the
difficulties Drucker and others have expressed regarding data objectivity and the rigorous
expertise requirements of data analytics.

The results we present here are still in preliminary form; they are explored in greater
depth in Jorgensen’s in-progress dissertation, with interpretations informed by feminist and
folkloristic theories. In Jorgensen’s write-up, there are strong indications that the gender and age
of fairy-tale protagonists correlate in ways that indicate societal value being placed on certain
perspectives. These results might help explain important questions in folkloristics, such as the
gender bias noted by fairy-tale collectors, wherein the repertoires of male tellers contain mostly
tales with male protagonists yet the repertoires of female tellers are evenly split down the middle
(Holbek, 1998). In other words, if the presence of normalized masculine bodies indicates a more
pervasive masculine perspective in fairytales, it would make sense that male tellers gravitate
more toward tales that feature their own point of view. Another topic to explore is mind/body
dualism, wherein men are associated with the mind and women with the body. Thus far, the fact
that men seem to undergo more transformations than women, along with the fact that women are
described more than men with appearance-evaluative words, leads us to think the fairytales express rather than subvert the often-sexist mind/body dualism common to other aspects of Western culture.

Interdisciplinary scholars, led by folklorists and feminists, have studied the configuration of gender roles in fairytales since the 1970s, trying to ascertain their influence on children, and society more generally. Folklorists have been slow to adopt digital methodologies for interpreting expressive culture, and no folklorist to our knowledge has used this kind of visual data modeling to study the linguistic content of fairytales before, so this study is uniquely positioned to help us understand the dynamics of gender in the pervasive and influential genre of fairytales.
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Fig. 1 List of body parts used in tales, with the areas of each box corresponding to the ratio of word usage to the total
Fig. 2 List of adjectives used in tales, with the areas of each box corresponding to the ratio of word usage to the total
Fig. 3 Comparison of references that implicitly describe bodies as old your young compared to explicit statements that a body is *old* or *young*.
Fig. 4 The four yellow dots represent *old male*, *young male*, *old female*, and *young female*, while each of the red dots represents an adjective. A line is drawn between an adjective (the red dots) and a body type (the yellow dots) if one is used to describe the other. That line gets thicker if it is used more frequently. Thus, a thick line connects *beautiful* to *young female*. Because *beautiful* is in the middle, we can also see it is occasionally used to describe *old females* and *young males* – although never with *old males*. 
Fig. 5 In these graphs, each dot is a noun or adjective. Lines are drawn between words if they are used together, so if *pretty* and *face* are used together frequently, a thick line connects them. The bigger a dot, the more frequently that word is mentioned. In the Gender graph (left), dots are colored by whether the word is most frequently associated with men (darker) or with women (lighter). In the Age graph (right), dots are colored by whether the word is most frequently associated with old (darker) or young (lighter) bodies.
Table 1 This table shows a representative sampling of aesthetic words evaluating physical appearances. For each word, the percentage is shown of how often that word is associated with bodies of high status (vs. those of low), old bodies (vs. young), and female bodies (vs. male).

Percentages are shaded if they are over 50%.

---

1For instance, Louis C. Jones analyzed ghost tales from New York City statistically, finding ‘that revenants are either indifferent (fifty-eight percent) malevolent (thirteen percent) or benevolent (twenty-nine percent)’ (1944, 246). He notes, however, ‘These categories are entirely arbitrary, based upon my judgment of their actions’ (ibid). Another good example is Ruth Bottigheimer’s work on gender roles and speech acts in the Grimms’ tales. She hints at a quantitative approach in her essay ‘Silenced Women in the Grimms’ Tales,’ listing tales in which female characters lose their voices, and counting the distribution of the word ‘speak,’ noting that it ‘appears more often in conjunction with authority figures’ (1986, 126).

2See two examples from the 1970s. Samuel J. Sackett encoded content and context information from a superstition collection onto punch cards, and although preparing the cards prior to running computer analysis on them was quite time-consuming, he noted: ‘We will still have plenty of work to do, and plenty of decisions to make, but our load will be inestimably lightened by the computer’ (1970, 106). Larry Danielson used punch cards to encode information about the content, structures, and styles of 143 supernatural narratives from both folk and print sources, aiming to obtain statistical data about the ‘influences of topic on structural, stylistic, and content variables’ (1979, 132).
3 For successful examples, see Tangherlini, 1998; Tangherlini, 2010; and Ragan, 2009.
4 Because fairytales exist on a spectrum from oral to written, it is important to strike a balance between folkloric and literary sources. This strategy ensures that Jorgensen’s expertise as a folklorist will lead to proper handling of disciplinary materials, as it has long been lamented that scholars unfamiliar with folkloristics ignore non-literary versions of tales (Dundes, 1989, 119). The collections used are: Beauties, Beasts, and Enchantment: Classic French Fairy Tales translated by Jack Zipes (covering the classical French tradition from the 1690s onward), The Collected Fairy Tales of the Brothers Grimm translated by Jack Zipes (based on the 1857 edition of the Grimms’ tales with additions from their notes), Italian Popular Tales compiled and translated by Thomas Crane and edited by Jack Zipes (spanning Italian literary and folk tales from the 1800s), Folktales of France edited and translated by Geneviève Massignon (tales that she and others collected in the 1950s), Folktales of Germany edited and translated by Kurt Ranke (compiled from fieldwork collections from approximately 1850-1950), and Folklore by the Fireside by Alessandro Falassi (tales that he collected in Tuscany in the 1970s and translated). In each collection, Jorgensen selected only proper fairytales or zaubermärchen to analyze (those numbering 300-749 in the ATU tale type system, which is described in note 9, with a few exceptions for tales that fall outside that category but are still clearly tales of magic), discarding the legends and animal tales that appear in some of these collections. We should also note that while some scholars use the terms ‘fairy tale’ and ‘folktale’ interchangeably, we follow the folkloristic convention of using folktale as a more general category, referring to (usually) oral narratives that are fictional and formulaic, while fairy tales, or zaubermärchen in German, have closer ties to literary culture and tend to focus upon magical aid and quests.
5 Tale, Collection, Author, Teller, Collector, Year of Writing/Collecting, Year of Publication, Tale Type, Region, Original Language, Gender of Teller/Writer, Gender of Collector, Gender of Editor, Gender of Protagonist
6 Some of these points were evident in the texts (Noun, Adjective, Surrounding Text, Page Number, Gender, Young/Old, High/Low, Quoted Speech, Skin Tone) and some required interpretation (Positive/Negative value, Grotesque, Violence, Nudity, Move). ‘High’ and ‘Low’ refer to social status, while ‘Young’ and ‘Old’ refer to the character’s age; youthful characters are of child-bearing age or younger, while old characters are beyond child-bearing years, or are the parents of children that are already mature. The ‘Move’ category is drawn from Holbek’s modification of Vladimir Propp’s 31 tale functions. Holbek condensed the 31 functions into 5 structural groupings, or moves. This is one of the unique aspects of this project, and one of the reasons folklorists should be doing digital work on fairytales, because we can draw on the insights from our discipline to sift through the data better than an outsider.
7 Despite the fact that this narrative is founded on a number of faulty assumptions—such as the supposed universality of marriage in human societies, preoccupation with paternal investment, and selfishness lying at the heart of human interactions—those utilizing an evolutionary psychology or sociobiology paradigm interpret everything through these lenses. For a refreshing counter-argument, see Sex at Dawn, a book committed to demonstrating that many of the foundations of evolutionary psychology are outdated and mired in the social constructs of the time periods when they were thought up: Ryan, C. and Jethá, C. (2010). Sex at Dawn: The Prehistoric Origins of Modern Sexuality. New York: Harper-Collins.
We have excluded a statistically insignificant number of bodies that were either ambiguously aged or had no age (578), bodies that were described in the plural as both young and old (32) and bodies that were young but were being acted upon by older bodies (2).

ATU refers to the Aarne-Thompson-Uther tale type system, a method of cataloguing folktale plots as they travel across linguistic and ethnic borders (for instance, “Cinderella,” one of the most widely told folktales, does not bear the same title in every culture where it is told, so it is useful to have a numerical indexing system). For the latest revisions of the tale type index, see Uther, H.-J. (2004). *The Types of International Folktales: A Classification and Bibliography. Based on the System of Antti Aarne and Stith Thompson.* Helsinki: Academia Scientiarum Fennica. 3 volumes.