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The Mechanism of Expansion and the Volatility it created in Three Pheromone Gene Clusters in the Mouse (Mus musculus) Genome

Robert C. Karn and Christina M. Laukaitis
Department of Medicine, College of Medicine, University of Arizona

Three families of proteinaceous pheromones have been described in the house mouse: androgen-binding proteins (ABPs), exocrine gland–secreting peptides (ESPs), and major urinary proteins (MUPs), each of which is thought to communicate different information. All three are encoded by large gene clusters in different regions of the mouse genome, clusters that have expanded dramatically during mouse evolutionary history. We report copy number variation among the most recently duplicated Abp genes, which suggests substantial volatility in this gene region. It appears that groups of these genes behave as low copy repeats (LCRs), duplicating as relatively large blocks of genes by nonallelic homologous recombination. An analysis of gene conversion suggested that it did not contribute to the very low or absent divergence among the paralogs duplicated in this way. We evaluated the ESP and MUP gene regions for signs of the LCR pattern but could find no compelling evidence for duplication of gene blocks of any significant size. Assessment of the entire Abp gene region with the Mouse Paralogy Browser supported the conclusion that substantial volatility has occurred there. This was especially evident when comparing strains with all or part of the Mus musculus musculus or Mus musculus castaneus Abp region. No particularly remarkable volatility was observed in the other two gene families, and we discuss the significance of this in light of the various roles proposed for the three families of mouse proteinaceous pheromones.

Introduction

There is a great deal of interest in mammalian communication by pheromones, and much attention has been focused on rodents. This has been especially true of the house mouse, Mus musculus, where at least three large gene families encoding proteinaceous pheromones have been described: androgen-binding proteins (ABPs), exocrine gland–secreting peptides (ESPs), and major urinary proteins (MUPs).

ABPs have been shown to mediate assortative mate selection, based on subspecies recognition that potentially limits gene exchange between subspecies where they meet (Laukaitis et al. 1997; Talley et al. 2001). There is evidence that ABP-mediated mate preference across a transect of the European mouse hybrid zone is a case of reproductive character displacement as predicted by reinforcement (Bimova et al. 2005).

ESPs constitute a newly described family of mouse proteinaceous pheromones (Kimoto et al. 2005). Female mice respond to direct facial exposure to an ESP expressed in male exorbital lacrimal glands and released into tear fluid by upregulating c-Fos and egr1 gene expression in vomeronasal sensory neurons (Kimoto et al. 2007). The same response occurs after close contact with the face or bedding of male mice, and a recombinant ESP protein stimulates electrical activity in an isolated female vomeronasal organ. The male response to similar signals is unremarkable (Kimoto et al. 2005, 2007).

The MUPs are a family of lipocalins shown to mediate female recognition of potential mates (for a review, see Hurst [2009]). For the most part, MUP genes are expressed in liver and the products are passed through the kidneys into the urine. Each adult mouse expresses a pattern of 8–14 different MUP isoforms in its urine, which is determined by its genotype and by its sex because some MUP genes show sex-limited expression (Hurst 2009). This individual recognition profile has been likened to a protein “bar code” (Robertson et al. 1996; Beynon and Hurst 2003; Armstrong et al. 2005; Cheetham et al. 2007; Logan et al. 2008). MUPs have also been implicated in male–male aggression. Chamero et al. (2007) isolated high molecular weight components of male urine that activated dissociated vomeronasal neurons and were sufficient to cause male–male aggressive behavior when painted onto previously castrated males.

The major histocompatibility complex (MHC) has also been implicated in a mechanism that reduces inbreeding by mediating assortative mate selection based on MHC genotype. It has been suggested that nine-amino acid peptide ligands bind specifically to different MHC proteins and that these ligands are released when the MHC proteins break down (for a review, see Hurst [2009]). The released ligands are filtered through the kidneys and secreted into the urine where they act as pheromones communicating information about the MHC genotype. Some researchers have pointed to difficulties with this model (Hurst 2009), and to date, the genetic basis for the putative peptide pheromones is unknown. Thus, we cannot include the MHC pheromone system in the genomic comparison reported here.

All three families of proteinaceous pheromone genes, Abps, ESps, and Mups, are encoded by large gene clusters in different regions of the mouse genome. The structure of the Abp linkage group is complex in part because the ABP protein is a dimer composed of an alpha subunit disulfide bridged to a beta–gamma subunit, and so two different subunit genes are required to make the subunits for a functional dimer. The Abp gene region is comprised of 64 paralogs mapping in a cluster on chromosome 7 (Laukaitis et al. 2008). Of these, 30 are Abp genes, which encode ABP alpha subunits, and 34 are Abpbg genes, which encode the beta–gamma subunits. The majority of Abp genes occur...
in 27 alpha/beta–gamma pairs in a 5′-5′ orientation and numbered 1–27 (Laukaitis et al. 2008). We designate these pairs <Abpa-Abphg> or <Abphg-Abpa> modules, where the arrowheads point in the 3′ direction.

A group of at least 38 ESP genes, ten of which are putative pseudogenes, map in a cluster on chromosome 17 (Kimoto et al. 2007). The expressed genes encode the monomeric ESP pheromones. The gene family encoding the monomeric MUPs consists of at least 40–42 genes (there are still gaps in this region of the mouse genome), half of which are pseudogenes. All of these map in a cluster on chromosome 4 (Logan et al. 2008; Mudge et al. 2008). As in the case of the Abp region, this cluster of genes is relatively complex, in that the center of the linkage group consists of at least 15 gene pairs, each containing a gene and a pseudogene in 5′-5′ orientation (Logan et al. 2008).

Each of these gene families, Abp, ESP, and MUP, has expanded dramatically during house mouse evolutionary history (Kimoto et al. 2007; Laukaitis et al. 2008; Logan et al. 2008), and two questions that fascinate us are: What mechanism(s) is responsible for the rapid expansions of these families of pheromone genes? Has the same mechanism caused the expansion of all three gene families?

We suspect that the process responsible for this gene family expansion may have resulted in copy number variation (CNV) through recent gene birth (duplication) and death (deletion). It is also possible that some events in Abp evolutionary history, and potentially in the evolutionary histories of the other two families, have been obscured by gene conversion. In undertaking this study, it was our objective to determine the mechanism(s) by which duplication has produced the complex family of Abp genes (Emes et al. 2004 and Laukaitis et al. 2008). We also compared the ESP and MUP gene regions studied by others for evidence of the same mechanisms operating in the Abp region. We evaluated the three pheromone gene regions for signs of duplication as low copy repeats (LCRs; Lupski 1998; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002) that have duplicated by nonallelic homologous recombination (NAHR; Shaffer and Lupski 2000; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002), and we evaluated volatility in all three regions, using the Mouse Paralogy Browser (She et al. 2008). One of them, the Abp region, shows substantial variation among a number of mouse strains. We especially noted an extensive history of these differences in wild-derived M. m. musculus strains, in classical inbred strains with part or all of an M. m. musculus Abp region, and in one wild-derived M. m. castaneus strain. No particularly remarkable volatility was observed in the other two gene families, and we discuss the significance of this in light of the various roles proposed for the three families of mouse proteinaceous pheromones.

Materials and Methods

Identifying Sequence Similarity and Repeated Blocks of Microsatellites Interspersed among Abp Genes

The microsatellites (di-nucleotide and tri-nucleotide repeats) interspersed among the most recently duplicated Abp genes described above were studied with the UCSC browser (Karolchik et al. 2003; http://www.genome.ucsc.edu). The microsatellites were visually scanned for repeated patterns, and the areas corresponding to the repeated microsatellites were matched to the Abp linkage map to look for corresponding repeated patterns of Abp genes and pseudogenes. The complete DNA of the genome region corresponding to each of the other two mouse pheromone gene families was divided into runs of 0.5 Mb, and the microsatellites scanned for repeat patterns.

DNA sequences corresponding to the putatively repeated blocks of the Abp genes (described above) were obtained with the UCSC genome browser and aligned using DNAis Max (Hitachi). In a similar fashion, 35-kb sequences on either side of the blocks were obtained and aligned. Maps of repeat elements in the Abp gene blocks and in the flanking regions were obtained with the UCSC genome browser and aligned with each other and with the DNA sequence alignments described above. These were used to construct figures of these alignments and maps.

Detecting Gene Conversion

Sequences were aligned with DNAsis Max (Hitachi). The program GENECONV (http://www.math.wustl.edu/~sawyer/geneconv/) was used to search for gene conversion tracks. GENECONV seeks aligned DNA or protein segments for which a pair of sequences is sufficiently similar to suggest that gene conversion occurred. These are classified as inner or outer fragments. Inner fragments are evidence of a possible gene conversion event between ancestors of two sequences in the alignment. Outer fragments are runs of unique sites that may be evidence of past gene conversion events that originated from outside of the alignment or else from within the alignment but such that evidence of the source has been destroyed by later mutation or gene conversion (see http://www.math.wustl.edu/~sawyer/geneconv/geonvdoc.html#AssessSig). GC content of the Abp region was determined using an online calculator provided by EnCore Biotechnology, Inc. (http://www.encorbio.com/protocols/Nuc-MW.htm).

Testing the Abp Gene Family for CNV

Multiplex ligation probe assay (MLPA) was used to search for CNV in the most recently duplicated Abp genes: a9p/a14p/a16p (Abp genes a9, a14, and a16, all of which are pseudogenes [p]) and a10/a15/a17 (none of these Abpa genes are pseudogenes). Essentially, this technique relies on specific binding between a pair of adjacent probes and target DNA. If binding is exact, a high temperature ligase joins the probes and this ligated product serves as a template for subsequent rounds of amplification with primers complementary to sequences on the probe ends (Schouten et al. 2002). Analysis was performed on a microsatellite platform. Template was the limiting component, and quantitation was based on comparing experimental peak size to the peak sizes in a panel of single-copy controls. Due to the extreme similarity among the members of set a9p, a14p, a16p, a single probe had to be used in MLPA analysis, and the same was true of set a10, a15, a17. Genomic DNA samples for this study came from Jackson Laboratory.
Protocols were modified from MRC-Holland (www.mrc-holland.com), and probe pairs were designed to differentiate paralogs by including a minimum of five nucleotides different from their nearest match. Critically, the 5’ nucleotide of the right probe, which was phosphorylated for subsequent ligation, was unique for each probe set. Probes included a complementary region, a 2–4 bp linker segment, and a primer-binding sequence. The probe pair lengths are designed to vary, when ligated, by at least two base pairs so peaks can be easily distinguished with microsatellite analysis.

Assessing Genome Region Volatility

We used the Mouse Paralogy Browser (She et al. 2008) to assess volatility in the three phenome family gene regions of the mouse genome (fig. 6). The coordinates for the boundaries of each gene cluster were entered, and the patterns examined for insertions and deletions. For each strain shown, the upper gray bar represents the locations of the probes. In the lower bars, blank areas represent no change from the C57BL/6 control (the mouse genome); dark and light blue bars represent insertions at P < 0.8, respectively; red and yellow bars represent deletions at P ≥ 0.9 and P ≥ 0.8, respectively. Black bars are insertions and deletions below those confidence levels.

Results

Blocks of Abp Genes Behave as LCRs

To search for duplicated blocks of $\langle Abpbg$-$Abpa \rangle$ modules, we studied patterns of microsatellites (di-nucleotide and tri-nucleotide repeats) in segments of the Abp region of the mouse genome where very recent duplication has occurred. We scanned these for similar or identical groups of microsatellites interspersed among the Abp genes, focusing on the region from $bg9p$ (p=pseudogene) to $a17$ because $a9p$, $a14p$, $a16p$, and $a10$, $a15$, $a17$ appear to be sets of very recently duplicated paralogs (Laukaitis et al. 2008). Figure 1 shows that, with the exception of 24xAG versus 25xAG, the repeats 17xCA, 20xAC, 23xTG, 15xGT, 21xTA, and 22xAC are exactly duplicated in the segment beginning with $bg14p$ and ending with $a15$ (hereinafter abbreviated 14-(31)-15) and the segment beginning with $bg16p$ and ending with $a17$ (abbreviated 16-(32)-17). In the foregoing abbreviations, numbers outside parentheses represent $\langle Abpbg$-$Abpa \rangle$ modules, whereas those inside parentheses are single Abpbg pseudogenes falling between two modules.

Interestingly, the most closely related Abp paralog sets (14-(31)-15 and 16-(32)-17), identified from these two nearly identical microsatellite repeat regions, have similar Abp paralog structures, two $\langle Abpbg$-$Abpa \rangle$ modules flanking an Abpbg pseudogene and, from left-to-right, their paralogs have identical nucleotide sequences (e.g., $bg14p$ is identical to $bg16p$ and $a14p$ is identical to $a16p$, etc. [Laukaitis et al. 2008]). When we compared the microsatellites in the $\langle bg9p$-$a9p \rangle$-$\langle bg29p$-$bg10p$-$a10 \rangle$ (abbreviated 9-(29)-10) with the 14-(31)-15 and 16-(32)-17 segments, we found a strikingly similar but not identical pattern of microsatellites.

Based on the above observation, we aligned the three segments to look for sequence identity and for similarities in other repeat elements (short interspersed nuclear elements [SINEs], long interspersed nuclear elements [LINEs], long terminal repeats [LTRs], etc.). Figure 2 shows that all three segments share an overwhelming majority of their sequences over their ~150-kb lengths and have strikingly similar patterns of repeat elements interspersed among the Abp paralogs they contain. They also have similar patterns of single nucleotide polymorphisms. It appears that duplication of the 14-(31)-15 and 16-(32)-17 blocks was so recent as to result in essentially identical, adjacent ~150-kb sequences in the mouse genome.

Inspection of the Abp linkage group and the intron tree published by Laukaitis et al. (2008) also suggested that a fourth block, containing $\langle bg4p$-$a4p$-$a28p \rangle$-$\langle bg5p$-$a5p \rangle$-$\langle bg28p$-$bg6p$-$a6p \rangle$ (abbreviated 4-(a28)-5-(bg28)-6, where all are pseudogenes), is an ancestor of the other three described above. Panel A of figure 3 shows our proposal for duplication of these four blocks, and panel B shows a portion of the intron tree excerpted from Laukaitis et al. (2008) that supports the proposed relationships in our model. We also aligned the 35-kb regions on either side of the four gene blocks and found significant sequence identity for at least 5 kb immediately adjacent to both sides of all four (SF1). We conclude that these segments have duplicated as LCRs (see Lupski 1998; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002), probably because the similar repeats on either side of the $\langle Abpbg$-$Abpa \rangle$ modules make them susceptible to NAHR (Shaffer and Lupski 2000; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002).

Gene Conversion Has Contributed Minimally to Similarity among Abp Paralogs

We undertook to determine whether gene conversion has contributed significantly to sequence identity in the Abp gene region. Exchange of genetic information through gene conversion or ectopic recombination between tandem gene copies following duplication can also obscure divergence because changes in one of the duplicated gene copies may be erased. Laukaitis et al. (2008) discounted the explanation that nonallelic gene conversion caused the low divergence of the Abp sequences they studied because the phylogenetic tree of ribosomal protein L23a pseudogenes frequently appears to have coduplicated with $\langle Abpbg$-$Abpa \rangle$ gene modules. We pursued this at a smaller scale by analyzing Abp genes with GENECONV to look for evidence of short gene conversion tracks.

Our GENECONV analysis of Abp paralogs identified no inner (conversion between genes within alignment) and no outer (conversion with genes outside alignment) fragments that were globally significant, suggesting that there is no compelling evidence of gene conversion in Abp paralogs. In the case of the Abpbg paralogs, the analysis identified only one inner (Abpbg26 and Abpbg34) and two outer fragments (Abpbg5p and Abpbg19) that were globally significant. We also calculated the GC content of the Abp gene
region because sequences undergoing frequent gene conversion, either ectopic or allelic, are expected to become GC rich (Galtier et al. 2001, 2008). We found that the average GC content in the \textit{Abp} gene region is low, about 41–42%, compared with genes undergoing gene conversion, such as ribosomal operons and transfer RNAs which have much higher GC contents (Galtier et al. 2001). Thus, it appears that gene conversion has made a minimal, but not nonexistent, contribution to the evolutionary history of the \textit{Abp} gene family. It certainly has not been significant enough to have confounded the phylogenetic inference presented by Laukaitis et al. (2008), and we feel that it should not adversely affect our analysis of recently duplicated products presented here.

The \textit{Abp} Gene Region Has Expanded Most Rapidly in the Center

Laukaitis et al. (2008) reported evidence suggesting that expansion of the \textit{Abp} gene family began in the common ancestor of the genus \textit{Mus}. They presented phylogenetic evidence that the 30 \textit{Abpa} genes in the mouse genome fall into five ancestral clades, and they estimated the ages of various
duplications in each clade which they calculated from the age of *Mus. pahari* as the out-group of the genus *Mus*. The *Abp* genes in the four blocks shown in figure 3 all fall into the largest clade of the five that Laukaitis et al. (2008) described, suggesting that recent duplications by NAHR may be occurring in the interior of the *Abp* region. To verify this, we plotted the duplication ages on the *Abp* linkage map and observed that the oldest duplication events occurred at what is now the periphery of the region and that the youngest events are in the center (fig. 4). This suggests that the most recent duplication activity occurred in the interior, providing new genetic material that has pushed apart the older paralogs on the flanks. It is in this large, central clade where the most volatility seems to be occurring.

**Numbers of Some Abp Genes Vary**

To test whether CNV could be detected in *Abp* genes, we selected the most recently duplicated *Abpa* paralogs (*a9p, a14p, a16p, and a10, a15, a17*) because 2 of the 3 members of each set have identical sequences in the mouse genome (Laukaitis et al. 2008). We detected 1, 2, and 3 copies of each set in various mouse inbred strains (fig. 5), suggesting that CNV of these *Abp* genes is common in house mice. We note that a particular copy number does not distinguish one subspecies of *M. musculus* from another because four different *M. m. musculus* wild-derived inbred strains had three different copy numbers, 1 in CZECHI, 2 in PWK and CZECHII, and 3 in PWD, whereas the wild-derived *Mus musculus domesticus* strain had a single copy, and the wild-derived LEWES strain had two.

These results suggest that at least some portions of the *Abp* region are volatile, with gene birth and death regularly occurring through duplication and/or deletion. The most striking observation in figure 5 is that CNV of *a9p, a14p, a16p, and a10, a15, a17* appears to be consistently coordinated; the same number of copies of both sets appeared in each strain. This is consistent with the very similar
duplication ages for the out-group paralog in each set estimated by normalizing their divergences to their divergence with their inferred Mus caroli ortholog and multiplying by the estimated divergence time of M. caroli lineages from M. m. domesticus (Laukaitis et al. 2008). This calculation provides a duplication time of 0.4 MYR for a9 and 0.2 MYR for a10 and supports our suggestion that, at least in some Abp gene regions, duplication occurred as blocks of <Abpbg-Abpa> modules rather than as individual modules. Very likely, duplication and, possibly, deletion of those blocks of genes is creating the CNV that we observe among inbred and wild-derived strains of mice in our MLPA data.

The ESP and MUP Gene Clusters Lack the Volatility That Characterizes the Abp Gene Cluster

We wished to determine whether the volatility (i.e., duplication of blocks of genes and CNV) we observed in the Abp gene region occurs in either of the other two genomic regions containing mouse pheromone genes, the ESPs and MUPs. We did not find repeated patterns of microsatellites such as those seen in the Abp region and so, in each case, we proceeded by comparing the linkage map of the gene family with the gene tree. In the case of the ESP family, mouse and rat ESPs are interspersed throughout the gene tree (Kimoto et al. 2007), leading the authors to conclude that the diversification occurred before the mouse–rat separation. They did find some small mouse-specific clades in the phylogenetic tree of ESPs, suggesting that one or more small expansions occurred in the mouse lineage. Our evaluation of their gene tree and linkage map suggested that no mechanism is needed to explain the expansion of the ESP family of genes beyond simple duplication and divergence, which can occur by slippage and unequal exchange, illegitimate recombination, or strand misalignment–realignment (Katju and Lynch 2003). NAHR, on the other hand, requires flanking repeated sequences (Shaffer and Lupski 2000; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002).

We also compared the MUP linkage group and gene tree published by Mudge et al. (2008) for signs that groups of genes, even as few as pairs, duplicated together. The location of a gene within its clade had no correlation with its neighboring pseudogene in the linkage group, suggesting either that they had not duplicated together or that concerted evolution has obscured their joint origins. Thus, although NAHR may be operating on individual MUP genes (Mudge et al. 2008), we did not find evidence of duplication of larger gene groups of MUP genes.

To extend our search for volatility among the three families of mouse pheromone genes, we interrogated each gene region with the Mouse Paralogy Browser (She et al. 2008). Figure 6 shows the results of visualizing insertions and deletions in these three regions. The Abp region shows significant volatility with about half the strains tested having insertions and deletions over nearly the entire region. By contrast, the ESP region shows a few small deletions and insertions scattered throughout the strains tested, whereas only two strains show significant deletions in the MUP region where insertions were not seen.

Not only does the Abp gene region appear to be more volatile than the other two pheromone gene regions but also the variation seems to be skewed toward strains derived from M. m. musculus (Czech I, NZO) and strains previously shown to have part or all of an M. m. musculus Abp region (DBA/2J; Dlouhy and Karn 1984; Karn RC, unpublished...
data). The same is true of the strain CAST/Ei, representing the third subspecies, *M. m. castaneus*. Thus, it appears that much of the volatility in the *Abp* gene region is interspecific divergence over nearly the whole gene region.

**Discussion**

Our MLPA results suggest that CNV is common in at least part of the *Abp* gene region of the house mouse genome. Evaluation of the pattern of *Abp* paralogs and interspersed repeated elements suggests that the mechanism for this is duplication of blocks containing combinations of *Abpbg-Abpa* modules and single *Abpbg* paralogs. This mechanism is consistent with parts of the *Abp* region of the mouse genome duplicating as LCRs (Lupski 1998; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002) by NAHR (Shaffer and Lupski 2000; Stankiewicz and Lupski 2002). Taken together, these observations lead to the conclusion that the *Abp* region of the mouse genome is volatile and that view is reinforced by our observations using the Mouse Paralogy Browser. Thus, gene birth and death accelerated by the ability to duplicate and delete numerous paralogs in large blocks appears to be common in the *Abp* region. At least for the *Abp* gene region, this answers our question concerning the mechanisms responsible for the rapid expansion of this pheromone gene family.

Early population surveys of alleles for the gene encoding the alpha subunit of mouse salivary ABP (originally *Abpa*, now designated *Abpa27*) showed a different *a27* allele fixed in each of the three *M. musculus* subspecies: the *a27a* allele in *M. m. domesticus*, *a27b* allele in *M. m. musculus*, and the *a27c* allele in *M. m. castaneus* (Karn and Dlouhy 1991; Hwang et al. 1997; Karn et al. 2002). It is not known
to what extent this represents the entire Abp gene region. However, the insertions and deletions in the data we obtained from the Mouse Paralogy Browser, especially in strains inbred from wild M. m. musculus (CZECHI, NZO) and M. m. castaneus (CAST/Ei), as well as those classical inbred strains with some or all the M. m. musculus subspecies Abp gene region (DBA), suggest that divergence of the Abp region of M. m. domesticus (represented by C57BL/6, the strain source of the mouse genome), M. m. musculus, and M. m. castaneus may have been accelerated by NAHR.

We did not find evidence for an LCR-type duplication of multigene segments of either the ESP gene region or the MUP gene region. We cannot, however, rule out that duplicated blocks have been obscured by homogenization from the action of concerted evolution (Mudge et al. 2008), and this answers another of our questions: whether the same mechanism caused the expansion of all three gene families. Evidently, it did not, at least not recently.

We also did not find evidence for any significant divergence of the ESP or MUP gene regions in any 1 of the 3 subspecies of M. musculus in the data we obtained from the Mouse Paralogy Browser. We conclude that there has been much less volatility, in terms of recent gene birth and death, in the evolutionary histories of these two families of pheromone genes than in the Abp family. That is consistent with the communication attributes of the three families of pheromones because ABP is the only one for which a role in mate selection based on subspecies recognition has been suggested (Laukaitis et al. 1997; Talley et al. 2001; Bimova et al. 2005). It is our contention that the contrast in volatility between the Abp gene region and the other two supports the idea of a very different communication role for mouse ABPs, one involving intersubspecific and perhaps interspecific recognition. That communication role is based on experimental evidence that both laboratory and wild mice show mating preferences for congenic targets that differ only in an Abpa allelic difference fixed in two different subspecies of mice that make secondary contact and form a hybrid zone in Central Europe (Laukaitis et al. 1997; Talley et al. 2001).

There is striking similarity between the volatility we report here for Abp genes and that reported by others for chemosensory receptor genes (especially the V1R genes; Cutler et al. 2007; Nozawa and Nei 2008). It is tempting to speculate that some of these V1R genes encode vomeronasal receptors recognizing ABP molecules, which are co-evolving to provide a recognition system to reinforce subspecies and species hybridization barriers.

Did the Abp Region Undergo Two Different Phases of Expansion in the Genus Mus?

Katju and Lynch (2003) found that two-thirds of tandem gene duplicates are in inverse orientation with respect to one another, and they reviewed the models that have been proposed to produce such an orientation. The inverse (i.e., 5′-5′) orientation of Abpa and Abphg genes in what we have termed a module fits this description. We propose that the original duplication of a single ancestral Abp gene in an early mammalian ancestor produced two paralogs in inverse adjacent order and these evolved into the original pair of Abpa and Abphg genes. This is consistent with the most widespread Abp gene configuration in mammals (Laukaitis et al. 2008). It also seems likely that the first duplication of an <Abpa-Abphg> module occurred in this way in the ancestor of the genus Mus because the <Abpa1-Abphg1> set, constituting one of the oldest clades, is in the inverse orientation to all the other Abp paralogs. One of the original duplicated modules was likely the ancestor of <a1-bg1>...<a2-bg2>, and the other was the ancestor of <bg26-a26p>...<bg27-a27>...<a30 (unpaired) and possibly <bg24-a24> and <bg25p-a25p> because these sets of modules are the oldest in the Abp gene group, comprising 4 of the 5 ancestral clades of genes (Laukaitis et al. 2008), and designated with colored bars at the top of fig. 4 in this paper. Very likely, the fifth clade arose later from a module that duplicated from one of these and is now represented by <bg3p-a3'> and/or <bg21-a21p>.

Something apparently changed following this scenario, however, because the most recent Abp duplicates
The direct repeat nature of the most recent gene duplication (Achaz et al. 2001) leaves the more diverged sequences on the flanks (fig. 4), reminiscent of the mechanism proposed by Achaz et al. (2002). Rather than the relatively slower mechanism of duplication of single genes, multiple modules, sometimes including unpaired paralogs, were duplicated by NAHR, at least in the largest, most volatile clade of blocks of genes. For example, in the house mouse, Mus domesticus, paralogs were generated by NAHR (Shaffer and Lupski 2003). Thus, we feel that duplication of blocks of genes is pushing the ancestral gene sets apart, leaving the more diverged sequences on the flanks. The tandem array of duplicates maintained by this selection is subject to a high rate of beneficial mutations being fixed. It is particularly interesting that the progenitors continued to duplicate after being silenced because they were included in the block of genes being copied by NAHR.

The Innovation, Amplification, and Divergence Model

It is tempting to speculate that the massive Abp gene duplication that occurred in the genus Mus may have followed a model variously known as adaptive amplification (Hendrickson et al. 2002; Roth and Andersson 2004), adaptive radiation (Francino 2005) or innovation, amplification, and divergence (Berghorsson et al. 2007); for a review, see Conant and Wolfe (2008). In essence, duplications are selected in certain genes with weak but beneficial functions (i.e., Abp genes with effects on mouse behavior). The tandem array of duplicates maintained by this selection is subject to a high rate of beneficial mutations because of the increased number of mutational targets; again in this case, NAHR has rapidly produced a large set of Abp mutational targets. Once such mutations have been fixed, selection to maintain the duplicated array is reduced and the extra copies are lost, leaving only the ancestral gene and the new duplicate with a novel function. For example, in the Abp system, duplicates such as bg4p, a4p, bg5p, a5p, bg6p, a6p, bg9p, a9p, bg10p, bg14p, a14p, bg15p, bg16p, a16p, and bg17p were silenced somewhere on the way to a10, a15, and a17 being fixed. It is particularly interesting that the progenitors continued to duplicate after being silenced because they were included in the block of genes being copied by NAHR.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary figure 1 is available at Genome Biology and Evolution online (http://www.oxfordjournals.org/our_journals/gbe/).
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