Logologists have been aware of word strings -- overlapping lists of words such as sat, ate, tea, ear, are -- since at least the time of the great English puzzle-constructors Henry Ernest Dudeney. However, no one seems to have realized that word strings can be diagrammed in a network, much as has been done for word ladders (see, for example, the May and August 1973 issues of Word Ways, or Chapter 4 of my book Word Recreations, published by Dover in 1979).

However, there are distinct differences between networks based on word ladders, and those based on word strings. Specifically, word string networks allow only those transitions in which a letter is removed from the beginning of a word, and another letter (or, possibly, the same letter) is added to the end to create a new word. Thus, each word in the network may have one or more descendant words which can be reached from it by successive transformations of this type, one or more ancestor words which can reach it, and one or more words that are inaccessible (you can't get there from here). Some words may have no ancestors; others, no descendants; and sometimes a word can be its own ancestor or descendant, by virtue of being in a loop such as asp, spa, pas.

One can best appreciate the complexities of this network (called a directed network by graph theorists) by looking at an example. The one chosen for this article is the word string network based on the 539 three-letter words listed in boldface type in the 1974 edition of the Merriam-Webster Pocket Dictionary (eliminating from consideration abbreviations such as DDT and TNT). Of the 539 words, 360 are in the main network. This is far too complex to diagram in full, but its essentials are depicted on the next page. All principal thoroughfares are shown, but a number of one-step side streets have been omitted to avoid cluttering the diagram. For example, the word ant is shown as having three immediate ancestors, ran, van and pan, which in turn have ancestors of their own. However, the complete network should also show ban, can, fan, man, tan and wan, none of which has an immediate ancestor. Similarly, the word boa has immediate descendants oat and oar shown, but oak and oaf, both dead ends, have been omitted. Note also that has and gas are ancestors of asp in common with was; fro, with pro; ply and fly, with sly; and cry, fry, pry, try and wry, with dry.

All routes in the network should be followed from left to right. A word with no ancestors other than one-step ones (as mentioned above or on preceding lines) are termed dead ends in the connection of one could reach from the last.

To work your way through the network,

Move to a word connected to your current one by a directed line.

You cannot reach one word from another along a horizontal or on a diagonal line.

One cannot go backwards through the network to reach any word other than the starting word.

Depending on the direction of movement, the network may have dead ends, loops, or both.

The complete network is too complex to show on one page. The network is divided into sections or nodes, with
taller nodes rich in connections and
dead-end nodes with no connections.

At any node, one can look through the word list for a word with a common ancestor or descendant.

The network is directed, with transitions occurring along arrows. To reach a word, one must follow the arrows from one node to another.

To complete the network, all possible connections between words have been included. The network is not only a diagram of word relationships, but also a tool for exploring language evolution and linguistic patterns.

A word network can be a fun and insightful way to explore the relationships between words, their origins, and the processes that have shaped language over time.
Most of the 179 words not in the main network are isolanos, unconnected to any other ancestor or descendant word. There are only ten groups of two or more words:

- **tlC--ICY, Ice**
- **flu--lug**
- **sol--old**
- **hlS--s small, mil, nil, oil--ill, 11k**
- **a Im, dim, him, rim, vlm--imp**
- **gnu--nub, nut, nun**
- **lac, sac--ace, act**
- **cur**
- **bur'::urn you-----our/out fur**
- **lax, tax, wax--axe**

One can determine the span of a directed network just as one can for a standard (two-way streets) network. For each word and its descendant word, there is a minimum-length path of intermediate words joining them; this path is characterized by the positive integer n, one more than the number of intermediate words needed. Different pairs of words in the network generate different values of n; the span of the network is defined as the maximum value possible. In the main network depicted above, the span is 12, as illustrated by the string ova-van-ant-nth-the-her-era-rag-ago-gobi-bin-ink.

The word having the most descendants is was (or has, or gas) with 158, about 44 per cent of all the words in the main network. The word having the most ancestors is end with 195, although elf (ell, elm, elk) gives it a good run with 190. Ebb is also a popular ending, but inn (or ink) and mum (mug, mud) are much less richly endowed with ancestors.

Rather than tour the main network as efficiently as possible, one can ask for the longest possible route between a pair of words, with no words used more than once. The string of twenty words *was-asp-spapan-ant-nth-the-her-era-ray-aye-yea-eat-ate-tea-ear-are-rev-eve-vex* appears to be the best possible; however, twenty can be achieved in other ways as well. If one insists that each letter of the alphabet be used at most once, the string of nine words *spa-pal-ale-leg-ego-gobi-bin-ink*, reported in the May 1979 Word Ways, is almost certainly the longest.
One can conceive of analogous word string networks for four-letter or longer words; however, unless a very much larger dictionary is used, such networks are likely to be extremely fragmentary. One way around this difficulty is to allow less-complete overlaps, such as placing two letters at the end of a four-letter word after removing the first two letters.

Word strings can be written in compact form, such as ovantherogobink for the spanning string cited earlier. These "words" can be considered in their own right, in particular as the vocabulary for a game of super-ghost (players take turns adding letters at the front or back of a string of letters, the object being to force one's opponent to complete a "word"). To avoid trivializing the game, one must insist that only "words" from the main network count; otherwise, the person making the second move can nearly always find an isolano to force a win. For example, if the first person picks M, the second adds I, leading only to MIX, MIL, or MID). Further, provision must be made to forbid endless looping of SPA, EAT, APER and ANOTHER. There is presumably a set of "safe" letters for the first player to start with to ensure a win, but ascertaining these seems to be a task for a digital computer.

NINETY MILLION NAMES

The business section of the April 16 1991 New York Times contained a short article of considerable interest to well-heeled logologists, genealogists, and tracers of missing persons: the Phone Disc USA Corporation, a small company in Marblehead, Massachusetts, plans to offer in September 1991 the white pages of all 4000 US telephone directories for $1850 on two CD-ROM disks. With the aid of a CD player (a purchase of several hundred additional dollars) the information can be extracted via personal computer. For logologists, this contains a treasure-trove of words not available in dictionaries; according to Social Security records, there exist some 1.1 million different surnames in the United States.

Not quite ready to plunk down two thousand dollars or more? Phone Disc has already sold an earlier version of these disks to about one thousand libraries and bill collection agencies. If you can find a library near you that has this technology, perhaps you could arrange to try it out, finding out the types of questions that the system can answer (and the time it takes to extract answers from 90 million entries). What single-letter surnames exist? What is the last surname alphabetically, excluding those ZZZZ vanity hisses? Does there exist a surname with the bigram FX (probably the most recalcitrant one)? Let Word Ways readers know what you find!