

10-2005

Nutritional support in the acute care patient post-ventricular assist device placement

Abby Re

Butler University

Jane M. Gervasio

Butler University, jgervasi@butler.edu

Robert D. Warhurst

Clarian Health Partners

Gary P. Zaloga

Clarian Health Partners

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.butler.edu/cophs_papers



Part of the [Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Re, Abby; Gervasio, Jane M.; Warhurst, Robert D.; and Zaloga, Gary P., "Nutritional support in the acute care patient post-ventricular assist device placement" (2005). *Scholarship and Professional Work – COPHS*. 23.

http://digitalcommons.butler.edu/cophs_papers/23

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the College of Pharmacy & Health Sciences at Digital Commons @ Butler University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Scholarship and Professional Work – COPHS by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Butler University. For more information, please contact omacisaa@butler.edu.

Nutritional support in the acute care patient post-ventricular assist device placement

Abby Re, Jane M. Gervasio, Robert D. Warhurst, Gary P. Zaloga

Purpose: There is little information addressing the appropriate route of nutrition support post-ventricular assist device (VAD) placement. Concerns for using enteral nutrition secondary to poor gastrointestinal perfusion exist. The objective of this study was to 1) assess nutrition support given to critical patients post-VAD placement, and 2) evaluate tolerability and outcomes in patients who received oral, enteral, or parenteral nutrition.

Methods: A retrospective chart review assessed nutrition support given in the acute phase defined as post-operative days 1-8 following VAD placement. A VAD registry was used to identify patients who received a VAD between 1992 and 2004. Data collection included: type of nutrition support, ventilator days, days with renal failure, days with hyperglycemia, hospital length of stay (LOS), and intensive care unit (ICU) LOS.

Results: Thirty-seven patients receiving a VAD were identified; 21 patients received an oral diet, 9 patients received enteral nutrition (EN), and 7 received parenteral nutrition (PN). No statistical differences in patient demographics were observed. Outcome data are reported in Table 1. The average ICU LOS and hospital LOS was shorter in the enteral group than the parenteral group; however, this was not statistically significant.

Conclusion: Patients post-VAD placement were able to tolerate enteral nutrition either orally or via tube feedings. VAD patients are critically ill patients post placement; however, parenteral nutrition is not warranted due to poor gastrointestinal perfusion.

Table 1.

Days	Oral (N = 21)	EN (N = 9)	PN (N = 7)	<i>p</i> value
Ventilator support	21 ± 1.2	6.0 ± 2.1	4.1 ± 2.4	< 0.05
Hyperglycemia (BG > 120 mg/dL)	3.9 ± 2.5	4.7 ± 2.7	3.4 ± 2.2	0.21
Renal failure (Scr > 2 mg/dL)	0.8 ± 2.0	0.2 ± 0.7	1.1 ± 3.0	0.51
Elevated total bilirubin (TB > 2 mg/dL)	2.6 ± 3.4	3.9 ± 3.6	6.6 ± 3.0	< 0.01
Hospital LOS	103.5 ± 63	87.3 ± 44	123.6 ± 53	0.061
CU LOS	24.2 ± 23	39.8 ± 26	41.0 ± 16	