



Butler University
Digital Commons @ Butler University

Manuscript Thesis Collection

Manuscript Thesis Collection

1894

Purpose expressions in Acts

G. G. Bruer
Butler University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.butler.edu/mantheses>

 Part of the Biblical Studies Commons

Recommended Citation

Bruer, G. G., "Purpose expressions in Acts" (1894). *Manuscript Thesis Collection*. 43.
<https://digitalcommons.butler.edu/mantheses/43>

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Manuscript Thesis Collection at Digital Commons @ Butler University. It has been accepted for inclusion in Manuscript Thesis Collection by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Butler University. For more information, please contact digitalscholarship@butler.edu.

Purpose Expressions in Acts.

Of the purpose expressions found in the Acts of the Apostles *īra* will be noticed first.

īra

- II:25. ὅτι ἐκ δεξιῶν μου ἔστιν *īra* μή σακευθῶ.
- IV:17. Άλλ *īra* μή ἐπὶ πλεῖον διανεμῆθῇ εἰς τὸν λαόν.
- IV:26. *īrāt̄* ἐφρύαξαν ἔθνη;
- V:15. *īra* ἐρχομένου πέτρου καὶ ὑσκιὰ ἐπισκιάσῃ τὸν αὐτὸν.
- VII:26. *īrāt̄* ἀδικεῖτε ἀλλήλους;
- VIII:19. Δότε κάρποι τὴν ἔγουσίαν ταύτην *īra* + λαμβάνη πνεῦμα ἄγιον.
- IX:31. Καὶ ώδε εἰς τοῦτο ἐληλύθει *īra* δεδεμένους αὐτοὺς ἀγάφη ἐπὶ τοὺς ἀρχιερεῖς.
- XVI:30. Κύριοι, τί με δεῖ ποιεῖν *īra* σωθῶ;
- XVI:36. ὅτι ἀπεστάλκασιν οἱ στρατῆγοι *īra* ἀπολυθῆτε.
- XVII:15. *īra* ὡς τάχιστα ἐλθωσι πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν, ἐξήσονται.
- XIX:4. Ιωάννης μὲν ἐβάπτισε βάπτισμα μετανοίας τῷ λαῷ λέγων εἰς τὸν ἐρχόμενον μετ' αὐτὸν *īra* πιστεύσοσι ++
- XXI:24. Καὶ δαπάνησαν ἐπ' αὐτοῖς *īra* ξυρήσουται τὴν κεφαλήν καὶ γνῶσι πάντες ++

xxii:5. Σε δε μέρος εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ ἵνα τιμωρηθῶ-
σαν.

xxii:24. Εἴτε πώρος μάστιξ οὐκ ἀρετάζεσθαι αἰτόν.
Ἴνα εἴπιγμα δι' οὐ αἰτίαν . . .

xxiv:4. Ἱνα διηγή εἴπι πλεῖον σε εἴκοντων παρα-
καλῶ ἀκοῦσαι σε.

xxvii:42. Τῶν δὲ στρατιωτῶν βούλησεν εἶπεν Ἱνα
τοὺς δεσμῖτας ἀποκτείνωσι μῆνας . . .
διαφέροι.

In these examples the negative particle
 $\mu\neg$ is used in five instances, but
it is seen that it does not at all
change the construction of the verb.
Ἴνα denotes pure purpose in all these
examples, except two, xix:4 and xxvii:42.
Yet in these passages purpose is
implied, for in xix:4 John's purpose
in speaking to the people was that they
should believe; and in xxvii:42 the βούλησεν
of the soldiers had a purpose in view,
namely the killing of the prisoners.
But really the Ἱνα clause is equiv-
alent to an infinitive, and the pure
purpose clause follows. One exam-
ple, v:15, is somewhat peculiar in the
fact that $\delta\bar{v}$ is used with Ἱνα. The

3

reason may be found in this that a wish is implied in the sentence. Two instances of ἴτάτι occur. This shortened form is equivalent to ἴτάτι γένγαται. The Aorist Subjunctive is used most frequently in these examples as there are only three cases in which the Present Subjunctive occurs. Not an instance is found in which the Optative is used after a past tense, but the Subjunctive always as with a present.

ὅπως.

III:19. μεταρογατε... ὅπως ἀν ἔλθωσι καρποὶ ἀναγέ-
ευστε καὶ ἀποστέίληται τοῖς οὖν χριστοῖς.

VIII:15. προσγέγαντο περὶ αὐτῶν ὅπως λάβωσι
πνεῦμα ἄγιον.

VIII:24. Δεήθητε... ὅπως μηδέποτε εἴπεις επειδόμενος
εἴρηκατε.

IX:2. ἤγραπτο επιστολὰς εἰς Δαμασκὸν... ὅπως, εὖ-
τις εὔρῃ τὴν ὁδοῦν αἴσιαν εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

IX:17. Οκύπιος ἀπέσταλκέ με... ὅπως ἀναβλέψῃς
καὶ πλησθῆς πνεύματος ἄγιον.

IX:24. παρετήρουν τε τὰς πύλας ἡμέρας τε καὶ
νυκτὸς ὅπως ἀνέλωσι.

XX:16. Ἐκρινε τὰς ὁ παῦλος παραπλεῦσαι τὴν Ἔφεσον

ὅτις μὴ γενηται αὐτῷ χρονοτριβῆσαι ἐν
τῇ Αἰα.

XXIII:15. Νον οὖν σπεῖς ἐμφανίσατε τῷ χιλιάρχῳ
+ ὅτις αὐτὸν αὐτὸν καταγάγῃ πρὸς εὑάς

XXIII:20. Same as XXIII:15.

XXIII:23. Ετοιμάσατε στρατιώτας διακοσίους
ὅτις πορεύονται εἴς καταρεῖας.

XXIV:26. ὅτι χρήματα δοθήσεται αὐτῷ ἐπὸ
τοῦ παύλου ὅτις λέγει αὐτὸν.

XXVI:3. Αὐτούμενοι χάριν κατ' αὐτοῦ ὅτις
μεταπέμψηται αὐτὸν εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

XXVI:26. Σιὸν προσήγαγεν αὐτὸν ἐφ' ἐμῆν +
ὅτις τῆς ἀνακρίσεως γενομένης
σχῶτι γένηται.

It will be seen, by noticing these examples, that ὅτις is used in the same way that ἵνα is. There are two instances in which μή is used. The Aorist Subjunctive occurs in every case. In III:19 ἄν is used.

This may be explained in the same manner as was given in regard to ἵνα ἄν. So it is found that ὅτις denotes pure purpose, yet a distinction might be made in this, that in some instances the ὅτις

clause is the object of the main verb, and these could not be called instances of pure purpose. See the 2nd, 3rd, and 8th examples.

ws

There is one instance in which ws seems to denote pure purpose, xx:24 οὐδὲ ἔχω τὴν γυναῖκαν τιμιὰν ἐμαυτῷ ωστε λειώσαι τὸν σπόνδον μη μετὰ χαρᾶς. Generally when ws is thus used with an infinitive, it expresses result like wote, but it seems that in this passage it denotes pure purpose. It is not so used, however, anywhere else in the New Testament.

Another quite common way of expressing purpose in Acts is by the infinitive. Examples will first be given that signify pure purpose by the Infinitive alone.

1:24-5. Ἐντὸν δὲ ἡλέγειν λαβεῖν τὸν κλῆπτον τῆς σιακονίας ταύτης καὶ αποστολῆς εἰς Ἰσραήλ τούτας πορευομέναι εἰς τὸν τόπον τὸν ἱερον.

11:30. ἀναστήσειν τὸν χριστὸν καθίσαι εἰς τὸν σπόνδον αὐτοῦ.

- IV:27-8. Συνήχθονται ποιῆσαι ὅτα ἡ χεῖρ σου
καὶ ἡ βουλὴ σου προώρισε γενέσθαι.
- V:3. διάτι ἐπλήρωσεν ὁ σατανᾶς τὸν καρδιῶν
σου ψεύσασθαι σε τὸ πνεῦμα τὸ ἄγιον,
καὶ νοσφίσασθαι ἀπὸ τῆς τιμῆς τοῦ
χωρίου;
- V:31. καὶ ἀπέστειλαν εἰς τὸ δεσμωτήριον ἀχ-
θῆναι αὐτοὺς.
- V:30. τοῦτον ὁ Θεὸς + ἔψωσε + δοῦναι μετάνοι-
αν τῷ Ιησαῖτι καὶ ἀφεσιν ἀρματιῶν.
- VII:31. προβερχόμενον δὲ αὐτοῦ κατανοῆσαι++
- VII:34. κατέβην ἐξελέσθαι αὐτοὺς.
- VII:38. ὃς ἐδέξατο λόγια γῶντα δοῦναι θυν.
- VII:43. τοὺς τύπους οὓς ἐποιήσατε προσκυνεῖν
αὐτοῖς.
- IX:14. καὶ ὥστε ἔχει ἐξουσίαν παρὰ τὸν ἀρχιερέων
δῆσαι πάντας τοὺς ἐπικαλουμένους τὸ
ὄνομά σου.
- IX:29. οὐδὲ ἐπιχείρουν αὐτὸν ἀνελεῖν.
- X:9. ἀνέβη πέτρος ἐπὶ τὸ δῶμα προσεύξασθαι.
- X:33. Νῦν οὖν πάντες ἡμεῖς ἐνύπιον τοῦ Θεοῦ
πάρεσμεν ἀκοῦσαι πάντα τὰ προστε-
αγμένα σοι.
- XI:22. καὶ ἐξαπέστειλαν Βαρνάβαν διελθεῖν
εὖς Αντιωχείας.
- XI:26. ἐξῆλθε δὲ εἰς ταύρου ὁ Βαρνάβας ἀναζητή-

σαι Σαῦλον.

XIII:1. ἐπέβαλε Ἡρώδης ὁ βασιλεὺς τὰς χεῖρας
κακῶσαι τίνας τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς ἐκκλησίας

XIII:13. προσῆλθε παιδίσκη οὐακοῦσσαι ὄνοματι
ερώδη.

XIII:17. κατασείσας δὲ αὐτοῖς τῇ χειρὶ σιγᾶν διηγή-
σατο αὐτοῖς.

XIII:44. σχεδόν πᾶσα ἡ πόλις συνίκησε ἀκού-
σαι τὸν λόγον τοῦ θεοῦ.

XIV:5. ὡς δὲ ἐγένετο ὅρμὴ τῶν ἔθνων τεκαὶ
Ιουδαίων σὺν τοῖς ἄρχοντιν αὐτῶν
ὑβρίσαι καὶ λιθοβολῆσαι αὐτοὺς.

XV:6. Συνίκησαν δὲ οἱ ἀπόστολοι καὶ οἱ πρε-
βύτεροι ἴδειν περὶ τοῦ λόγου τούτου.

XV:14. καθὼς πρῶτον ὁ Θεὸς ἐπεσκέψατο λαζεῖν
ἔξι ἔθνῶν λαόν.

XVI:10 συμβιβάζοντες δὲ προσκεκλήσαι οὐας
ὁ κύριος εὐαγγελίσασθαι αὐτούς.

XVI:14. Οἱ ὁ κύριος διήγοιξε τὴν καρδιάν προ-
έχειν τοῖς λαδουμένοις ἐπὸ τοῦ πάντοι.

XVII:14. Εὐθεως δὲ τότε τὸν πάνταν ἐξαπέστειλεν
οἱ ἀδελφοὶ πορέυεσθαι ὡς τὴν θάλασσαν.

XVIII:27. προτρεψάμενοι οἱ ἀδελφοὶ ἐγράψαν τοῖς
μαθηταῖς ἀποδέξασθαι αὐτὸν.

XX:1. ἐξῆλθε πορευθῆναι εἰς τὴν Μακεδονίαν.

XX:16. ἐπένευτε γὰρ + γενέσθαι εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

- xxi:28. προσέχετε εαυτοῖς + ποιμάνειν τὴν ἐκκλησίαν.
- xxii:14. οὐδὲν + προεχειρίσατό σε γνῶσαι τὸ θέλημα αὐτοῦ καὶ ιδεῖν + καὶ ἀκοῦσαι φωνὴν +.
- xxv:3. ἐνέδραν ποιοῦντες ἀνελεῖν αὐτὸν κατὰ τὴν ὁδόν.
- xxvi:16. εἰς τοῦτο δὲ ὡφελοῦσι προχειρίσασθαι σε επιφέτην καὶ μάρτυρα + +.
- xxvii:12. οἱ πλείους ἐθεντο βουλὴν ἀναχεγούνται κακεῖθε εἴπως δύναντο κατανθίσαντες εἰς φοίνικα παραχειμάσαι λιμένα τῆς κρήτης.

In these examples the Aorist Infinitive predominates, as there are only six instances in which the Present Infinitive occurs. No distinction can be made between these in the English translation.

The Infinitive with τοῦ, or better the Infinitive used as a noun in the genitive case, is also used to express pure purpose, as will be seen in the following passages.

III:2. οὐ εἴθουν καθίμεπαν + τοῦ αἵτεν ἐλεγμονούντων.

III:3. ως ίσια δύναμεις ἢ εὐσεβεία πεποιηκότι τοῦ πεπιτατεῖν αὐτὸν;

IX: 16. ὅτι σκέδος ἐκλογῆσμοι ἔστιν οἜΤΟΣ τοῦ βασ-
τάσαι τὸ ὄνομά μου ἐνώπιον ἔθνων ++.

X: 47. Μήτι τὸ ὅδωρ κυλάσαι δύναται τις τοῦ
μὴ βαπτισθῆναι τούτους;

XVIII: 10. Καὶ οὐδεὶς ἐπιθέσεται σοι τοῦ κακοῦσαι.

XX: 7. ἐν δὲ τῇ μίᾳ τύρσαββάτων συνηγμέν-
ων τῶν μαθητῶν τοῦ κτάσαι ἀρτον.

XX: 30. λέγοντες διεστραμμένα τοῦ ἀποστά-
τούς μαθητὰς ὅπιστον αὐτῶν.

XXVI: 17-18. ΒΟΥΣΕ ἀποστέλλω ἀνοίγαι ὁφεαλμοὺς
αὐτῷ τοῦ ἐπιστρέψατε ἀπὸ σκότους
++ τοῦ λαβεῖν αὐτοὺς ἀφεσίν ἀπνατιῶν.

It appears from these examples that the Infinitive, in the genitive case, is used in the same way as the Infinitive alone. This is clearly seen in the last example. No distinction seems to be made between the present and aorist Infinitive. The present Infinitive always occurs in case of a contract verb.

There are many other passages in which purpose is expressed by the Infinitive, either alone, or in the genitive case. But it can not be said that these are instances of pure

purpose. They will be divided into classes accordingly as they follow different words.

I. After forms of ἅγγελλω.

II:4. παρήγγειλεν αὐτοῖς ἀπὸ Ιερουσαλήμ μὴ χωρίζεσθαι.

IV:18. παρήγγειλαν αὐτοῖς τὸ καθόλου μὴ φθέγγεσθαι μήδε διδάσκειν ἐπὶ τῷ ὄνομα τῷ τοῦ Ιησοῦ.

V:28-40. Same as IV:18.

X:42. παρήγγειλεν ἡμῖν κυρύζαι τῷ λαῷ καὶ διαμαρτύρασθαι.

XVI:18. παραγγέλλω σοι + ἔξελθεῖν ἀπ' αὐτῆς.

XVI:23. παραγγέλλαντες τῷ δεσμοφύλακι + τηρεῖν αὐτοῖς

XVII:30. ταῦτα παραγγέλλει τοῖς ἀνερώποις πᾶσι
+++ μετανοιεῖν.

XXIII:22. παραγγέλλας μηδενὶ ἐκλαλῶσαι.

XXIII:30. Ἐπέμψα πρὸς σε παραγγέλλας καὶ τοῖς κατήγοροις λέγειν τὰ πρὸς αὐτὸν ἐπὶ σοῦ.

XXVI:20. ἀπαγγέλλων μετανοιεῖν καὶ ἐπιστρέψειν.

In these passages the Infinitive really represents what was announced, being thus the object of the verb; yet there is purpose indicated, some-

times more and sometimes less.

II. After forms of δίδωμι.

III:4. καθὼς τὸ πνεῦμα ἐδίδου αὐτοῖς ἀποφθέγγεσθαι.

II:27. οὐδὲ δύσεις τὸν δοσιόν σου ἴδειν διαφθοράν. XIII:35

IV:29. καὶ δὸς τοῖς δούλοις σου μετὰ παρρήσιας
and πάσῃς λαλεῖν τὸν λόγον σου + καὶ τέρατα

IV:30. γίνεσθαι.

VII:42. ὁ Θεὸς καὶ παρέδωκεν αὐτοὺς λατρεύ-
ειν τῇ στρατιᾷ τοῦ οὐρανοῦ.

XI:40. καὶ ἔδωκεν αὐτὸν ἐμφανῆ γενέσθαι.

XVI:4. παρεδίδουν αὐτοῖς φυλάσσειν τὰ δόματα

XII:4 παραδοὺς τέσσαροι τετραδίοις στρατιώ-
τῶν φυλάσσειν αὐτόν.

XIV:3. Same as IV:30

The Infinitives following παραδίδωμι
express pure purpose in these exam-
ples, but the other merely imply it,
except possibly in X:40. which has an
object other than the Infinitive. see
also II:27.

III. After forms of παρακαλέω.

VIII:31. παρεκάλεσέ τε τὸν φίλιππον ἀναβάντα
καθίσαι.

XI:23. καὶ παρεκάλει πάντας + προσμένειν
τῷ κυρίῳ

XIII:42. παρεκάλουν τὰ ἔθνη εἰς τὸ μεταξὺ

σάββατον λαληθήσει αὐτοῖς ταῦτα τὰ
ἔργα.

XIV:22. παρακαλοῦντες ἔμμενειν τῇ πίστει,

XIX:31. παρεκαλοῦμεν ὅμεις + τοῦ μὴ ἀναβαί-
νειν αὐτὸν εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ.

XXIV:4. παρακαλῶ ἀκοῦσαι σε.

XXVIII:23-4. παρεκάλει δὲ πᾶς ἀπαντάς μετα-
λαβεῖν τρόφης.

XXVIII:20. παρεκάλεσα εὑὰς ἰδεῖν καὶ προσκαλέσσαι
In this class purpose is simply
implied. In XXI:12 the genitive case
of the Infinitive is used instead of
the Infinitive alone. As has been
stated these are used interchangeably.

IV. After forms of Κελεύω.

IV:15. Κελεύσαντες δὲ αὐτοὺς ἦσαν τοῦ συνεσπί-
ου ἀπελθεῖν.

V:34. Εαναλίγα + ἐκέλευσε ἦσαν οραχύτι τοὺς
ἀποστολοὺς ποιῆσαι.

VIII:38. καὶ ἐκέλευσε στῆραι τὸ ἄρμα.

XII:19. ἀνακρίνας τοὺς φύλακας ἐκέλευσεν
ἀπαχθῆναι.

XVI:22. καὶ οἱ στρατηγοὶ περιπέψαντες
αὐτῶν τὰ ἡμάτια ἐκέλευσον εραζίσειν.

This class is like the preceding,
and the passages are so similar to

13

each other that the rest of the examples of this class will simply be located. They are found in XXI: 33-4. XXII: 24, 30. XXIII: 3, 10, 35. XXIV: 8. XXVI: 6, 17, 21. XXVII: 43.

IV. After forms of τάσσω.

VII: 44. Καθὼς διετάσσω ὁ λαὸς τῷ Μυσῷ πορ-
γοι αὐτὴν κατὰ τὸν τύπον ὅν εὑράκει.
XVIII: 2. Σὶ τὸ διατεταχεῖν Ρλαΐδιον χωρίζεται
πάντας τοὺς Ιουδαίους ἐκ τῆς Παίης.
X: 48. Προσέταξέ τε αὐτοὺς Βαπτισθῆναι ἐν
τῷ ὄνοματι τοῦ κυρίου.

Other examples of this class are found in XXII: 10. XXIII: 2. XXIV: 23.

V. After forms of στέλλω.

XVI: 20. Άλλὰ ἐπιστεῖλαι αὐτοῖς τοῦ ἀπέκεισθαι,
ἀπὸ τῶν ἀλισθήματων τῶν εἰδώλων

XV: 29. Same as above. ἐπιτιθέσθαι = ἐπιστεῖλαι.

XX: 20. Ήσ οὐδὲν επεστειλάμην τῶν συνφερόντων τοῦ μη ἀναγγεῖλαι οὖν καὶ
διδάσκαλοι Εμᾶς τοῦ

XXI: 25. Περὶ δὲ τῶν πεπιστευκότων εἶθεν
ἔμεις ἐπεστείλαμεν τύπειν αὐτοὺς.

There are yet other verbs - verbs of wishing, seeking, entreating &c. - for which the Infinitive completes the meaning. The Infinitive as in the pre-

14.

ceding classes is the object of the verb which it follows. There is, perhaps, some purpose expressed by these expressions. They will be divided into the following classes.

I. After forms of θέλω.

X:10. καὶ γέρεις φέρασαι.

XIV:13. ὁ δὲ τεπεῖς τὸ γέρεις θέλειν. also XVI:3,

XIX:33. XXIV:6, 27.

II. After forms of ζητέω.

XV:10. εὑθεύς ἐζήτησαντες εἰδεῖν εἰς Μακεδονίαν.

XVII:5. ἐζήτουν αὐτοῖς ἀγαγῆν εἰς τὸν δῆμον.

XXI:31. Ζητούντων δὲ αὐτὸν ἀποκτεῖναι.

XIII:7. ἐπεζήτησεν ἀκοῦσαι τὸν λόγον τοῦ Θεοῦ.

XIII:8. Ζητῶν ἀποστέψας τὸν ἀνθρώπον ἀπὸ τῆς πότεως.

XXVII:30. τῶν ραυτῶν ζητούντων φυγεῖν
ἐκ πλοίου.

The second and third examples in this class are instances of pure purpose, because the verb has an object other than the Infinitive.

III. After σίγαντι.

XXVI:3. οἱος σίγαντος μακροθίνως ἀκούσαι μου

IV. After ἐρωτάω.

X:48. τότε ὅπωτησαν αὐτὸν επιμεῖναι ὅμερ-
ας τινάς.

XVI:39. καὶ ἔγαγα γόντες ὅπωτων ἔξελθεῖν
τῆς πόλεως.

XVIII:30. ἐρωτώντων δὲ αὐτῶν ἐπὶ πλειονα-
χρόνον μεῖναι παρ' αὐτοῖς.

V After αἴτεω.

Same as class 4. Examples are found
in VII:46. XIII:28.

VI. After forms of Βούλεύω.

V:33. καὶ ἐβούλευοντο ἀνελέντες αὐτοῖς.

IX:23 σὺν εἰδούσαντο οἱ Ιουδαῖοι, ἀνελέντες
αὐτὸν.

XXIII:20. In this passage συνέθειντο = ἐβούλευαντο.

XXVII:39. εἰς δὲ ἐβούλευαντο + ἔγωγας πλοῖον

Although the Infinitive is the object
in this class, yet it almost expresses
a pure purpose. Especially in IX:
23 and XXVII:39.

There remain still some Infinitives
which are the objects of the verbs
which they follow, and imply pur-
pose. As they are so nearly like
the examples already cited they
will simply be located.

They are found in v:9, 28, 41. x:22, XIV:15.
XVI:7. XVIII:13. XXIV:26. XXVII:29.

Purpose is also expressed in acts
by the prepositions *eis* and *πρὸς*.

eis

II:38. μετανοήσατε καὶ βαπτισθήτω ἀφεσίν ἀμαρτιῶν.

III:19. μετανοήσατε καὶ επιστρέψατε *eis* τὸ
ἔξαλειφθῆναι οὐκών τὰς ἀρματίας.

IV:30. ἐν τῷ τὴν χειράσου ἔκτεινει σε *eis* πάσιν
καὶ συμέτα.

VII:19. οὗτος κατασοφισάμενος τὸ γένος
ἵμων ἐκάκωσε τοὺς πατέρας ἴμων
τοῦ ποιεῖν ἐκβετα τὰ βρέφη αὐτῶν
eis τὸ μὴ γνωμονεῖσθαι.

XIII:47. Τέθει κάσε *eis* φᾶς ἐθνῶν τοῦ εἶναι σε
σωτηρίαν ἕως ἐπαύτου τῆς γῆς.

XVII:21. *eis* οὐδὲν ἔτεσσον εὐκαίρουν ηλέγειν τι
καὶ ἀκούειν ++.

XXVI:16. *eis* τοῦτο γὰρ ὡφελοῦσσι προχειρίσασ-
θαι σε διπερέτην.

XXVIII:13. Ἐξ ἀλθον *eis* ἀπάντησον ἴμων.

In these passages *eis* is used to ex-
press purpose with a noun or an
infinitive used as a noun. The
nouns with which it is used in

this way are those which partake
of the nature of a verb, and so are
really equivalent to *eis* with and
finitive.

πρὸς

III:10. ἐπεγίνωσκότε αὐτὸν ὅτι οὗτος ἦν
οἱ πρὸς τὴν ἔλεγμον γένεσιν καθήμενος.

Again purpose is expressed in
acts by the Participle.

III:26. ἀπέστειλεν αὐτὸν εὑρογούντα θυάσια.

VIII:27. Οὐδὲν λύθει προσκυνήσων εἰς Ἱερουσα-
λήμ. also XXIV:11.

IX:38. ἀπέστειλεν δύο ἄνδρας πρὸς αὐτὸν
παρακαλοῦντες μή σκυρῆσαι διε-
θεῖν ξίνις αὐτῶν.

X:38. Οὐδὲν διῆλθεν εὐεργετῶν καὶ οἴμενος
πάντας ++.

XX:25. Οὐδὲν πάντες ἐν οἷς διῆλθον
κηρύσσοντες τὴν βασιλείαν τοῦ Θεοῦ.

XXI:26. Οὐδαῖος ++ εἰσῆγε, εἰς τὸ Ἱερὸν δια-
γέλλων τὴν ἐκπλήρωσιν τῶν ἡμέρ-
ων τοῦ ἀγνισμοῦ.

XXII:6. ἐπορεύομην ἀξέων καὶ τοὺς ἑκεῖσε
ὄντας δεδηνένους εἰς Ἱερουσαλήμ++.

XXIII:3. καὶ σὺ κάθῃ κρίνων με κατὰ τὸν
νόμον.

xxiv:17. παρεγένομεν εἰς μοσίας ήσθιον
εἰς τὸ ἔθνος μου.

xxv:13. κατήντησαν εἰς καιρά περι αὐτήν
οὐενος τὸν φύστον.

Generally when a Participle expresses purpose it follows a verb of motion to or from a thing, and is in the future-tense. This is true of five of these examples, the other are present Participles.

Finally purpose is expressed by μή.

v:26. Ἐγοβούντο γὰρ τὸν λαόν οὐαμή λιθασθῶν
xxiii:10 εὐλαβῆθεις δὲ χιλίαρχος μή σιασπασθῇ
δὲ παῖδος.

xxvii:17. φοβούμενοι τε μή εἰς τὴν Σύρτην
ἐκπέσωσι. also xxvii:29.

xxvii:22. τῶν δὲ στρατιωτῶν βούλη ἐγένετο
ἴρα τοῖς σεμνώτας ἀποκτείνωσι
μήτις ἐκκολυμβήσας διαφύγοι.

xxviii:27. μήποτε πέσωται τοῖς ὄφεις καὶ
τοῖς ωσὶν ἀκούσωσι ταῦτα.

In the first three passages the μή clause follows a verb of fearing. It can not be said that μή in these instances denotes pure purpose, yet there

19.

is some purpose implied. Really the μῆ clause is the object of the main verb, stating what was feared. But the last two examples express pure negative purpose. The Optative is used in xxviii; 42, after a preterite, but the Subjunctive might have been used as in xxviii; 27. Some manuscripts have the Subjunctive in this passage.

G. G. Bruer.

May 8, 1894.