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ANNOUNCEMENT: BEST BOOK IN HINDU-CHRISTIAN STUDIES


In her book, Michelle Voss Roberts brings together Mechthild of Magdeburg (thirteenth century Christian mystic) and Lalleśvarī (fourteenth century Kashmiri Śaiva poet) in order to reconfigure central Western binaries as dualities in relation. Drawing on elemental metaphors of fluidity in both women’s work, Voss Roberts develops a feminist comparative theology that holds onto multiplicity without falling into dualism.

The Society held a panel on the book at its 2010 annual meeting in Atlanta, Georgia. Panel participants noted Voss Roberts’s innovative approach and compelling constructive theology in light of her comparison. For a published version of the panel, see *Journal of Hindu-Christian Studies* 24 (2011).

Michelle Voss Roberts is Assistant Professor of Theology and Culture at Wake Forest University School of Divinity.

The Society congratulates Dr. Voss Roberts for her outstanding theological contribution to Hindu-Christian Studies.

“Best Book in Hindu-Christian Studies--Theology and Philosophy” celebrates a book that is: (1) a comparative study of a theme(s) in Hindu and Christian traditions or of two (or more) thinkers from those traditions; or (2) a work which traces some aspect of the philosophical or theological interaction between Hindus and Christians; or (3) a study in which an author, belonging (religiously and/or culturally) to either the Hindu or the Christian tradition, reflexively undertakes a study of a concept, image, ritual, author, text, etc., from the other tradition, and thus brings it into dialogue with his or her own.

The Other Four Finalists:


*Beyond Compare: St. Francis de Sales and Śri Vedānta Deśika on Loving Surrender to God* by Francis X. Clooney. See below the abbreviated review. The full review appeared in the 2009 issue.

*Comparative Theology and the Problem of Religious* by Hugh Nicholson. See below the abbreviated review. The full review appeared in the 2011 issue.

*The Rhythm of Being: The Gifford Lectures* by Raimundo Panikkar. See below the abbreviated review. The full review appeared in the 2011 issue, with the reviewer mistakenly listed as Michael McDonald instead of Michael McLaughlin.


At the core of *Beyond Compare* is a claim, borrowed from literary theorist Charles Altieri, that “manner matters,” that literature transforms its readers not primarily “adjectively,” by directly introducing new values, ideas or courses of action, but “adverbially,” by changing how we perceive our experience as a whole (133-39, 202). Extending this insight to his comparative reading of two “spiritual classics”—the *Treatise on the Love of God* by the early modern Catholic bishop Francis de Sales and the *Essence of the Auspicious
that such failure, when it arises as a consequence of sustained reading, will actually intensify the practice of both spiritual classics, separately and together. “Now unsettled by both texts,” he writes, “[the interreligious reader] comes closer to the precipice of a real act of loving surrender” (186). In making this claim, Clooney does not merely advance the comparative theological project initiated in many earlier works, including especially Seeing through Texts (1996). He also subtly sets the study of religion itself on a new footing, deeply informed by the visions of Deśīka and de Sales. The book thus speaks effectively both to specialists in Hindu-Christian Studies and to a wider scholarly public.

Reid B. Locklin
St. Michael’s College, University of Toronto

Comparative Theology and the Problem of Religious Rivalry.

Hugh Nicholson believes comparative theology to be an undertheorized discipline. Nicholson’s book attempts to address this problem by providing ethical and epistemological reflection on comparative theology and the problem of religious rivalry.

Nicholson is concerned that comparative theologians idealize their discipline as apolitical and innocent. Against this naïveté, Nicholson asserts that comparative theology, along with all theology, is a political endeavor. Indeed, comparative theology as a discipline is especially fraught with politics, as it necessarily invokes the power of oppositional identity. Failure to acknowledge the dangers inherent in such discourse risks real harm (ix-x). Oppositional identity necessitates an us-them worldview. More dangerously, that us-them worldview may become “naturalized,” a process in which “we” are deemed natural and good, and “they” are deemed unnatural and evil.

Nicholson believes that theology can be denaturalized (de-essentialized) but not depoliticized. The process of denaturalization will promote respect and dynamism, but this process must be advanced politically. According to Nicholson, one of the most powerful methods of undercutting the ideological stabilization of identity (naturalization) is comparison (16). Comparison reveals held truths to be historical, constructed, and contingent, and comparison treats the other as an opportunity rather than a threat. It seeks intellectual stimulation through placing the familiar into novel, illuminating contexts, as does metaphor (200). The practice of comparison frees theological reflection from being habitual and automatic, thereby freeing its practitioners from determination by inherited tradition.

Such a penetrating analysis would be compromised were it not applied. To apply his theory, in Part II Nicholson compares Eckhart with Sankara, partly to deconstruct the East/West dichotomy that still persists in religious studies, and partly to rehabilitate Otto, who had succumbed to that very dichotomy.
Nicholson’s book is a pioneering contribution to the nascent field of fundamental comparative theology. In the years to come, it will help comparative theology to proceed with greater awareness, confidence, and charity.

Jon Paul Sydnor
Emmanuel College

The Rhythm of Being: The Gifford Lectures. Raimon Panikkar.

This book consists of an edited version of the Gifford Lectures of 1989 which Panikkar continued to elaborate in the years following. It brings forth additional work incorporating material from Christophany: The Fullness of Man (2004) and the Experience of God: Icons of Mystery (2006). Much of Panikkar’s thought revolves around the meaning of metaphysical terms in various linguistic registers,” homeomorphic equivalents” as he calls them. His search for concepts ranges widely over Plato, Aristotle, Aquinas, Plotinus, Heraclitus, Kant, Hegel, and Heidegger as well as Shankara, Ramanuja, Abinavagupta, and others. The work reflects an impressive grasp of many deep and long standing questions such as the meaning of esse, of time, of becoming, of cosmos, of motion, of matter, and of consciousness.

These lectures do not engage traditional problems such as the way that Christology ties to Trinity, as in the classic problem of the hypostatic union, since Panikkar’s focus is on the cosmic Christ as a principle. His Trinitarian focus is not so much on the immanent Trinity as on the cosmotheandric reality that ties together all that is. He does not directly attach the problem of original sin or of the difference between nature and the supernatural, made famous by authors such as de Lubac in Surnaturel, but is aware of it (301). The careful reader will in fact see many problems that Panikkar references but strategically chooses not to pursue. There is not much engagement with recent versions of process theism such as the work of Catherine Keller.

The style of thinking is at times more associative than argumentative. In one place, he says that he is like a contemporary hunter gatherer “recollecting life” from the tremendous field of human experience. Life here means inspirations, visions, insights and aphorisms of the kind that will help us see with a “third eye”. It is a rewarding journey.

Michael McLaughlin
Saint Leo University